Generated: 6 September, 2025, 06:25

## 2011 Change Proposals - New Allowances (4 Items) Posted by joepaluch - 27 Oct 2010 06:04

These are **PROPOSED** Changes only based on driver and director suggestions. Please comment below. These are prposed NEW allowances to the 944 spec rule set.

2011-1) 3 Piece Crossmember

Proposal: Allow 3 Piece engine mount support cross member as manufactured and originally sold by Lindsey racing. PNxxxxx (TBD)

Justification: Allows for faster and easier rod bearing changes which improve the maintainability of the cars. No change in performance.

2011-2) Larger Jack pad reinforcement

Proposal: Increase size of jackpad described in rule 16.3.14 from 4" by 4" to 6" by 6".

Justification: The larger size better matches up with jack saddle sizes on larger floor jacks

2011-3) Allow 924S models to use 924 Carrera GT type flares on the rear.

Proposal: All 87 and 924S chassis to install fiberglass 924 Carrera GT/ GTS type rear flares. Flares limited to units produce by GT racing part number xxxx (TBD). Track with may be increased the limit of the 944. If rear flares are installed the front must also convert to 944 steel fenders.

Justification: This allows a simple easy way for a narrow 924S to achieve the same track width as allowed by the 944. Front bodywork will be identical to the 944 and the rear flares are a cheaper solution than using 944 rear gtr panels.

2011-4.) Lexan rear Qtr windows

Proposal: Allow rear replacement of glass gtr windows with lexan and to allow air ducting to be installed in these lexan windows. No changes to rear hatch.

Justification: Makes it a nice clean way to provide fresh air ducting.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## Re: 2011 Change Proposals - New Allowances (4 Item

Posted by rd7839 - 29 Oct 2010 18:11

## **944-SPEC - 944SPEC - low cost wheel to wheel racing** Generated: 6 September, 2025, 06:25

I agree with charlie, NO new rules!!!! A stable rules platform is very attractive, new rules every year seems like change for change's sake. Lexan side windows? Who needs them?
Re: 2011 Change Proposals - New Allowances (4 Items) Posted by spec28 - 29 Oct 2010 19:13
Chuck, RD and othersreally? Why do you care if I have lexan 1/4 windows with naca ducts or a 3 po crossmember? You dont have to run them, but why downgrade to a no vote to impede someone else enjoying them?
This goes out to all that vote on issues. How about voting No if you think it will cause an unfair advantage, and vote yes if you dont care one way or the other.
Re: 2011 Change Proposals - New Allowances (4 Items) Posted by JerryW - 29 Oct 2010 19:29
Because that's their opinion on the proposal.
There is a lot to be said that each non stock change to the car allows wriggle room by the rule readers. Some feel that changes from stock components need to be justified more than just because it's easy/no big deal/no advantage.
Both Charlie and Ron (and to a large extent me) feel the statement
No New Rules
Meets the best way to end each year. We all recognize that some changes are occasionally needed but like to see a good justification.
(I'll also let them talk for themselves - this is my opinion not theirs)

## **944-SPEC - 944SPEC - low cost wheel to wheel racing** Generated: 6 September, 2025, 06:25